

# Using Intuitive Geometry - Exercise 8

**Due Date: October 25th (!!!) - Instructor: Felix Breuer**

## Announcement

In total there will be 9 exercises! For exercises 8 and 9 you will have  $1\frac{1}{2}$  weeks each. Exercise 8 (this one) is due October 25th and exercise 9 will be due Nov 3rd (first day of seminars).

## Exercises

The point of this exercise is to do the Beck-Zaslavsky proof of Stanley's reciprocity theorem for the chromatic polynomial. You are supposed to do only a few of the steps of this proof as a homework exercise, as indicated below. You are welcome to do the rest as an optional exercise, of course.

Throughout,  $G = (V, E)$  denotes an undirected graph. A  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  is a map  $c : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ . A  $k$ -coloring is *proper* if  $c(v) \neq c(w)$  for all  $v \neq w \in V$ . The *chromatic polynomial*  $\chi_G(k)$  is defined by

$$\chi_G(k) := \#\text{proper } k\text{-colorings of } G.$$

To show that this is in fact a polynomial in  $k$ , we realize  $\chi_G$  as an Ehrhart polynomial as follows. We work in  $\mathbb{R}^V$ , i.e., we have one variable  $x_i$  for each vertex  $i \in V$  of our graph. We define hyperplanes  $H_{ij} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^V \mid x_i = x_j\}$ . The set of all these hyperplanes  $B = \{H_{ij} \mid i, j \in V\}$  is called the *braid arrangement*, while the set of all these hyperplanes  $H_{ij}$  where  $ij$  is an edge of  $G$  is called the *graphic arrangement*  $A_G$  of  $G$ :

$$A_G = \{H_{ij} \mid ij \in E\}.$$

The first step in the proof is to show that  $\chi_G(k-1)$  equals the Ehrhart function of the open 0,1-cube from which all points on hyperplanes  $H_{ij}$  with  $ij \in E$  have been removed, i.e.,

$$\chi_G(k-1) = L_{(0,1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in A_G} H_{ij}}(k).$$

Note that the chromatic polynomial has been shifted by 1. (Here we use the following bijection between colorings and lattice points: A lattice point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$  corresponds to the coloring  $c$  given by  $c(v) = x_v$  for all  $v \in V$ .)

For everything that follows it is crucial to note that the set  $(0, 1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in A_G} H_{ij}$  is a disjoint union of open  $|V|$ -dimensional polytopes, i.e.,

$$(0, 1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in A_G} H_{ij} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{relint}(P_i)$$

where the union is disjoint and the  $P_i$  are open  $|V|$ -dimensional polytopes which we call the *components*.

1) Visualize this construction as follows:

- Draw  $k \cdot (0, 1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in A_G} H_{ij}$  for  $k = 3$  and  $G = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $E = \{12, 23\}$ .
- How many components are there?
- Locate the proper coloring given by  $c(1) = 2$ ,  $c(2) = 1$  and  $c(3) = 2$  in your drawing.
- Add  $H_{13} \in B \setminus A_G$ , the one hyperplane that is in the braid arrangement but not in the graphic arrangement, to your drawing.

We do not yet know that  $\chi_G$  is a polynomial! To conclude this from Ehrhart's theorem we would need to know that the  $P_i$  are *lattice* polytopes, i.e. have vertices with integer coordinates. To get there we proceed as follows. The braid arrangement triangulates the cube  $[0, 1]^V$  into unimodular simplices. Because the graphical arrangement is a subarrangement of the braid arrangement, it follows that all the components  $\text{relint}(P_i)$  can be written as disjoint unions of open lattice simplices, whence the  $P_i$  are lattice polytopes.

2) Study the braid arrangement  $B$ :

- For  $|V| = 3$ ,  $(0, 1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in B} H_{ij}$  is the disjoint union of six 3-dimensional lattice polytopes, which are all simplices. What are the vertex sets of these six simplices?
- For one of these simplices, show that it is a lattice transform of the standard simplex  $\Delta^3$ .
- In general, for  $|V| = n$ , what are the vertex sets of the components of  $(0, 1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in B} H_{ij}$ ? Can you write them down explicitly?

We now know that  $\chi_G$  is a polynomial and we have a geometric representation of this polynomial. The central feature of this geometric representation  $(0, 1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in A_G} H_{ij}$  is that it decomposes into different connected components  $P_i$ . What is the *combinatorial* meaning of this?

With every proper coloring  $x \in (0, 1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in A_G} H_{ij}$  we associate an orientation  $o(x)$  of  $G$  as follows. Let  $vw \in E$  be any edge of  $E$ .  $vw$  is oriented from  $v$  towards  $w$  if  $x_v < x_w$  and from  $w$  towards  $v$  if  $x_w < x_v$ . When analyzing this correspondence between proper colorings  $x$  and orientations  $o(x)$ , one comes to the following results.

- If  $x$  is a proper coloring, then  $o(x)$  is acyclic. An orientation  $o$  of  $G$  is *acyclic*, if *no* edge of  $G$  lies on a directed cycle w.r.t  $o$ .
- For every acyclic orientation  $o$  of  $G$ , there exists a coloring  $x$  such that  $o(x) = o$ .
- Two proper colorings  $x, y$  have the same acyclic orientation  $o = o(x) = o(y)$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  lie in the same component  $P_i$ . We call this orientation  $o$  the orientation  $o(P_i)$  of  $P_i$ .
- A (not necessarily proper) coloring  $x$  lies in  $P_i$  if and only if  $x_v \leq x_w$  for all edges  $vw \in E$  that are directed from  $v$  towards  $w$  in  $o(P_i)$ . In this case we call  $x$  and the orientation  $o = o(P_i)$  *compatible*.

You are supposed to show the first of these statements.

- 3)** Prove that if  $x$  is a proper coloring, then  $o(x)$  is acyclic.

Given this interpretation, we can now use Ehrhart-Macdonald reciprocity (a geometric result) to give a combinatorial interpretation of  $\chi_G$  at negative integers. First, convince yourself that Ehrhart-Macdonald reciprocity implies:

$$|\chi_G(-(k+1))| = |L_{(0,1)^V \setminus \bigcup_{H_{ij} \in A_G} H_{ij}}(-k)|.$$

Then use this, and all other results above, for the following proof:

- 4)** Prove that for all  $k > 1$ ,  $|\chi_G(-(k+1))|$  equals the number of pairs  $(x, o)$  where  $x$  is a (not necessarily proper)  $(k+1)$ -coloring and a compatible acyclic orientation  $o$ .

## Questions?

*eMail:* felix@fbreuer.de - *web:* <http://www.felixbreuer.net>